	Case 2:22-cv-01293-DC-JDP Document	41 Filed 02/06/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	WILLIE ROYCE WILLIAMS,	No. 2:22-cv-01293-	DC-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	F. BRYANT, et al.,		
15	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 36, 37, 38	3)
16			
17	Plaintiff Willie Royce Williams is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma		
18	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a		
19	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On December 9, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and		
21	recommendations recommending that Plaintiff's filing on November 1, 2024, which the		
22	magistrate judge construed as a motion to amend his complaint (Doc. No. 36), be denied as		
23	untimely. (Doc. No. 38 at 2, 4.) The magistrate judge also recommended that Defendant's motion		
24	to strike Plaintiff's filing, which Defendant construed as a proposed amended complaint, (Doc.		
25	No. 37), be denied as moot. ( <i>Id.</i> at 3–4.) Those pending findings and recommendations were		
26	served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within		
27	fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 4.) To date, no objections to the pending findings and		
28	recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.		
	1		

## 1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 2 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 3 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 4 Accordingly, 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 9, 2024 (Doc. No. 38) are 6 ADOPTED in full; 7 2. Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the complaint (Doc. No. 36) is DENIED; 3. Defendant's motion to strike Plaintiff's proposed amended complaint (Doc. No. 8 9 37) is DENIED as having been rendered moot by this order; and 4. 10 This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further 11 proceedings. 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 Dated: February 6, 2025 15 Dena Coggins 16 United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Document 41

Filed 02/06/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:22-cv-01293-DC-JDP